

# CP REPORT – CP1412

---

<b>MEETING NAME</b>	Imbalance Settlement Group
---------------------	----------------------------

---

<b>Date of meeting</b>	22 July 2014
------------------------	--------------

<b>Paper number</b>	ISG159/01
---------------------	-----------

<b>Owner/author</b>	David Kemp
---------------------	------------

<b>Purpose of paper</b>	For Decision
-------------------------	--------------

<b>Classification</b>	Public
-----------------------	--------

<b>Summary</b>	<p>This report provides details of the background, solution, impacts and industry views of CP1412 'Reporting of deleted BOAs within the SAA-I014 report'. ELEXON invites the ISG to approve this CP for implementation on 6 November 2014 as part of the November 2014 Release.</p>
----------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

## 1. Why Change

### SAA-I014 report

The SAA-I014 'Settlement Report' report contains a complete breakdown of everything that happened in a given Settlement Date, broken down by Settlement Period. Information contained in this report includes: the System Buy and Sell Price (SBP/SSP) and all information used to calculate these; information on trading activity and charges per BM Unit; metered volume data; and Settlement cash flow information.

There are three variants of the report:

- Sub flow 1 (S0141) is sent to individual BSC Parties, and contains the information specific to that Party;
- Sub flow 2 (S0142) is sent to the System Operator and the Balancing Mechanism Reporting Agent (BMRA) as standard and can be sent to other participants upon request, and contains the complete set of data across all BSC Parties; and
- Sub flow 3 (S0143) is sent to ELEXON, and contains a subset of the data from sub flow 2.

Details of each flow are contained within the [NETA Interface Definition and Design \(IDD\) documents](#).

### Amendments to BOAs

[BSC Procedure \(BSCP\) 18 'Corrections to Bid-Offer Acceptance Related Data'](#) allows for the Transmission Company to amend erroneous Bid-Offer Acceptance (BOA) related data after a Settlement Period has been completed but prior to the Initial Settlement Run (SF). It is also possible for BOA data to be amended after the SF Run as a result of a Trading Dispute.

BOA data is reported within sub flows 1 and 2 of the SAA-I014 report. Any amendments to BOA data made through one of the above processes may result in a BOA being wholly or partly deleted, which will be reflected in the SAA-I014 reports at subsequent Settlement Runs.

### What is the issue?

When a BOA is wholly or partly deleted, the SAA-I014 report will continue to report the relevant BO3 'BMU Period Bid-Offer Acceptance' record with the N0390 'CADL Flag' and the N0546 'Acceptance SO-Flag' fields populated as normal.

## CP REPORT – CP1412

The NETA IDD Part 2 'Interface to other Service Providers' document states that in this scenario the 'CADL Flag' field in the BO3 record of the SAA-I014 sub flow 2 report will be listed as null. This is inconsistent with what currently happens in practice, as noted above.

### 2. Solution

#### Proposed solution

CP1412 proposes to change the NETA IDD Part 2 document to state that where an acceptance has been deleted the 'CADL Flag' field in the SAA-I014 sub flow 2 report will be populated as normal. This will remove the inconsistency between the wording in the document and current practice, along with any potential confusion that this discrepancy may cause.

To identify a deleted BOA (or the deleted part if the BOA was only part deleted), participants should refer to the relevant BO6 'BMU Period Bid-Offer Acceptance Spot Points' records. These are still reported but would have null values entered for the relevant N0026 'Bid Offer Acceptance Level Value From' and N0027 'Bid Offer Acceptance Level Value To' fields.

We consider that it is more efficient to align the document to the system than the other way around, as participants will be used to receiving the report in its current format and their systems will already be set up accordingly. This will allow these inconsistencies to be resolved without needing to amend the SAA-I014 report within the Settlement Administration Agent (SAA) systems, which would involve associated costs and systems impacts.

### 3. Impacts and Costs

#### Central impacts and costs

This CP will require updates to the NETA IDD Part 2 document to implement the proposed solution, and you can find the proposed changes in Attachment A. No central system changes will be required for this CP.

Central impacts	
Document impacts	System impacts
NETA Interface Definition and Design: Part 2	<i>None</i>

The central implementation costs for CP1412 will be approximately £240 (1 man day) for ELEXON to implement the relevant document changes. There are no BSC Agent costs or impacts.

#### BSC Party & Party Agent impacts and costs

One respondent to the CP Impact Assessment noted that minimal changes would be required to their existing systems to implement CP1412, with minimal costs associated with this change. No other respondents noted any impact or cost required to implement CP1412.

The full responses made by participants on the expected impacts and costs for CP1412 can be found in Attachment B.

### 4. Implementation Approach

#### Proposed Implementation Date

CP1412 is proposed for implementation on **6 November 2014** as part of the November 2014 BSC Systems Release, as this is the earliest Release that this CP can be included in. No respondents to the CP Impact Assessment disagreed with this approach.

## CP REPORT – CP1412

### 5. ISG's Initial Views

We presented CP1412 to the ISG for comment at its meeting on 27 May 2014 ([ISG157/02](#)). The ISG had no initial comments on the CP.

### 6. Industry Views

We received eight responses to the CP Impact Assessment for CP1412, of which three agreed with the proposed solution and five were neutral. The responses received are summarised in the following table and the full collated participant responses can be found in Attachment B.

Summary of responses to CP1412			
Organisation	Capacity in which organisation operates	Agree?	Impacted?
TMA Data Management Ltd	Party Agent	Neutral	No
Northern Powergrid	Distributor	Neutral	No
UPL	Party Agent	Neutral	No
RWE Npower	Supplier, Party Agent	Neutral	No
Scottish & Southern Energy Power Distribution	Distributor	Neutral	No
ScottishPower	Generator, Supplier, Distributor, Party Agent	Yes	No
British Gas	Supplier	Yes	No
E.ON	Generator, Supplier, Interconnector User, Non-Physical Trader, Party Agent	Yes	Yes

One respondent who agreed with the change believes that it makes sense to align the documentation to how the system operates. They note that the way the system is currently configured works and that this is what Parties and Central Systems are operating to. No other respondents provided comments on the proposed solution.

#### Comments on the proposed redlining

There were no comments on the proposed redlined text for CP1412.

### 7. Recommendations

We invite you to:

- **APPROVE** the proposed changes to the NETA IDD Part 2 document for CP1412; and
- **APPROVE** CP1412 for implementation on 6 November 2014 as part of the November 2014 Release.

### Appendices

None

# CP REPORT – CP1412

---

## Attachments

Attachment A – NETA IDD Part 2 proposed redlining v0.1

Attachment B – CP1412 collated responses

## For more information, please contact:

David Kemp, Senior Change Analyst

[david.kemp@elexon.co.uk](mailto:david.kemp@elexon.co.uk)

020 7380 4303